SwissThc SEPTEMBER 2015 | SWISS-THAI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE | E-NEWSLETTER #62

NEWS BY DFDL

#CORR" **CRACKING DOWN ON CORRUPTION IN THAILAND**

On 9 July 2015, the Thai govern- Clear evidence of ongoing cor- out doing Indonesia, Vietnam, ment issued Amendment No.3 to rupt practices in Thailand has the Lao PDR, Cambodia and Mythe Anti-Corruption Act BE 2542 been well documented under anmar among others. A report (1999) (Amendment No.3) to the Corruption Perceptions In- published by the Global Financomply with international stand- dex (CPI). The CPI is conducted cial Integrity Group at the close ards for the prevention and by Transparency International, a of 2014 entitled "Illicit Flows suppression of corruption. Thai- non-governmental organization from Developing Countries" reland had previously also ratified that monitors and publicizes vealed that over USD35 billion the United Nations Convention corporate and political corrup- (THB 1.1 trillion) had been against Corruption (2003) on 1 tion in the international devel- drained from Thailand through March 2011. Even though the le- opment sector. The results of money-laundering, tax evasion, gal framework for combating cor- the latest index show Thailand crime and false trade documenruption has been in place since has risen 17 places from 102nd tation practices. the late 1990's, enforcement of in 2012 to 85th in 2014. Acinception.

anti-corruption laws in Thailand cording to the index, within the Despite the improvements seen has been inconsistent since their ASEAN region, Thailand remains under the CPI, corruption is still as the 3rd least corrupt nation, a prevalent practice in Thailand.





NEWS BY DFDL

explained by the patronage sys- of Partnership Stakes and Shares tem, which is dominant in Thai of Ministers Act BE 2543 (2000) social culture. Under this sys- and the Act Governing Liability tem, it is common for those in for Wrongful Acts of Competent positions of authority to extend Officers BE 2539 (1996). "favors" to their associates or relatives. These "favors" result There is no universal definiin corrupt practices becoming tion of the term "corruption" as ingrained at all levels of polit- corrupt practices span a wide a person in power, especially a ical, bureaucratic and corporate range of illicit or illegal activihierarchies, both domestic and ties. However, almost all defini- duce him to do, not to do or deinternational.

cent well-documented corrup- personal benefit. A clear trend given in certain circumstances tion case, we refer to the dis- has been that anti-corruption and is valued under a certain missal of a high ranking public policies generally focus on pubservant who has allegedly as- lic sector corruption rather than sisted individuals to falsely that in the private sector. From The Criminal Code applied to claim refunds of value-added 1932, the Thai Criminal Code instances of bribery of persons tax in an amount excess of THB criminalized active and passive holding political office such as 3.2 billion. These sorts of cor- bribery, attempted bribery and members of the parliament, prorupt practices have remained corruption, extortion, abuse of vincial assembly or municipal prevalent in Thailand despite public office for private gain, assembly, officials in public adthe enactment of several an- as well as for serving as an in- ministration and the court, and ti-corruption related legislative termediary between two parties employees of the government instruments, including: the Civil exchanging bribes and services. which include those working Service Act of 1992, the Act on Under the Criminal Code, a bribe for government agencies, state Criminal Procedures for Persons is interpreted as the property enterprises, or other state agen-Holding Political Positions BE or benefit given, or offered to cies. It is important to note that

Corrupt practices can largely be 2542 (1999), the Management



public official, in order to intions share the common theme lay a particular action. However, of abuse of public power and a gift offered to a public officer To provide an example of a re- abuse of a public position for is not considered a bribe if it is amount (THB 3,000).



SwissTr SEPTEMBER 2015 | SWISS-THAI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE | E-NEWSLETTER #62

NEWS BY DFDL



in the past, anti-corruption reg- include the implementation of Thailand's ties in the private sector.

d'Etat on 22 May 2014, the Na- organizations. tional Council for Peace and Orcountry in various areas which order to adequately encompass fences stipulated under Articles

ulations did not cover the brib- new anti-corruption measures. tive Assembly (NLA) exercised ery of foreign officials or activi- Prior to Amendment No. 3, the its broad powers under Section death penalty for corruption 44 of the Constitution of the related crimes was limited to Kingdom of Thailand (interim) Prior to the amendment, pu- Thai officials convicted of tak- BE 2557 (2014) to tighten annitive penalties for corruption ing bribes. One of the most sig- ti-corruption measures through ranged from five years to life im- nificant reforms of Amendment an aggressive amendment of the prisonment and/or a THB 2,000 No. 3 is that the penalty is now existing Anti-corruption Act BE - 40,000 fine, depending on the extended to also cover non-Thai 2542 (1999). Significant changdegree of seriousness of the act nationals working for foreign es to the old Anti-Corruption committed. Following the Coup governments and international Act BE 2542 (1999) are seen

der has committed to reform the Some terms have been added in state officials who commit of-

foreigners in the Act. Firstly, a "State official in a foreign country" effectively covers persons performing any function within a foreign administration, whether it be legislative, administrative or iudicial. Secondly, an "Agent of international Organization" includes persons performing in any function of or on behalf of the international organization.

National Legislain Article 13 of Amendment No. 3, which increases penalties for





NEWS BY DFDL

123/2 to 123/8. The penalties not counted as part of the stat- large companies to ensure that for a state official convicted ute of limitations. If a defend- they are aware of the legal limof corruption range from THB ant escapes after a court issues its when providing facilitation 100,000 to 400,000 fines, 5 to a final verdict the article 98 of fees. Amendment No. 3 ensures 20 years or life imprisonment, the Criminal Code would not be international standards and its through to capital punishment, enforced. depending on the severity of the offence. In contrast, only The National Anti-Corruption tween foreign investors and the monetary fines will apply to Commission (NACC) is also Thai administration. The enactcorporations that participate in granted a new power to pursue ment of Amendment No. 3 will corrupt practices. The fact that corruption cases under Amendthe recipient of a bribe may be ment No. 3. The NACC's duty is applied across the board when handed a heavier sentence in to carry out inspections and in- dealing with public officials with terms of imprisonment and fines vestigations of cases of "unusuunder the Criminal Code reflects al wealth". However, historically the idea that Thai law considers investigations have proceeded curements, and the protection the recipient to be the more se- at a very slow pace and only a of whistleblowers. rious offender than the person handful of officials have been offering the bribe. Should it be punished. On its face, it would *Rashane Leerabandhu* established that the act of the seem that Amendment No. 3 may (rashane@dfdl.com) recipient amounts to a demand not increase enforcement of anor coercion, the death sentence ti-corruption laws in Thailand, Kunal Sachdev could apply under the Criminal however, it is likely to pave the *(kunal@dfdl.com)* Code. Article 11 of Amendment way for stricter enforcement No. 3 changes the procedure re- practices to be implemented in *Faustine Fernando* lating to the statute of limita- the near future. tions and penalties under 74/1. Also, it now stipulates that if a Given recent changes, the landdefendant escapes abroad while scape of systemic corruption being prosecuted or on trial, the is slowly altering and it is imperiod that he or she escapes is portant for both medium and

enforcements will provide more transparency in relations beensure that fair practices are regard to access to information, conflicts of interest, public pro-

(faustine.fernando@dfdl.com)



